



CORN DISEASE MANAGEMENT

Fungicide Efficacy for Control of Corn Diseases

The Corn Disease Working Group (CDWG)

developed ratings for how well fungicides control major corn diseases in the United States. The CDWG determined efficacy ratings for each fungicide listed in the table (next page) by field testing the materials over multiple years and locations. Ratings are based on the product's level of disease control and does not necessarily reflect yield increases obtained from product application. A product's efficacy depends upon proper application timing, rate, and application method as determined by the product label and overall disease level in the field at the time of application. Differences in efficacy among each fungicide product were determined by directly comparing products in field tests using a single application of the labeled rate. For application timing and use considerations, please contact your local cooperative extension service. The table includes marketed products available that have been tested over multiple years and locations. The table is not intended to be a list of all labeled products. Additional fungicides are labeled for disease on corn, including contact fungicides such as chlorothalonil. Other fungicides may be available for diseases not listed in the table, including Diplodia, Gibberella and Fusarium ear rots. Many products have specific use restrictions about the amount of active ingredient that can be applied within a period of time or the amount of sequential applications that can occur. Read and follow all use restrictions prior to applying any fungicide.





Find Out More

The Crop Protection Network (CPN) is a multi-state and international collaboration of university and provincial extension specialists, and public and private professionals who provide unbiased, research-based information to farmers and agricultural personnel. Our goal is to communicate relevant information that will help professionals identify and manage field crop diseases.

Find more crop disease resources at CropProtectionNetwork.org



This publication was developed by members of the Corn Disease Working Group. It was compiled and published by Kiersten Wise, University of Kentucky.

The information in this publication is only a guide, and the authors assume no liability for practices implemented based on this information. Reference to products in this publication is not intended to be an endorsement to the exclusion of others that may be similar. Individuals using such products assume responsibility for their use in accordance with current directions of the manufacturer.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

©2021 by the Crop Protection Network. All rights reserved.



Fungicide mode of action groups:Group 11Qol StrobilurinsGroup 3DMI TriazolesGroup 7SDHI

Efficacy categories:

NR=Not Recommended; P=Poor; F=Fair; G=Good; VG=Very Good; E=Excellent; NL = Not Labeled for use against this disease; U = Unknown efficacy or insufficient data to rank product

Fungicide Efficacy for Control						rust	,	spot	Northern com leaf blight	Southern rust		'n²
of Corn Diseases Table (03/2021)				Anthracnose leaf blight	Common rust	Eyespot	Gray leaf spot	Northern co leaf blight	thern	Tar spot'	Harvest restriction ²	
		Active ingredient (%)	Product/Trade name	Rate/A (fl oz)	Ant l leaf	e	Eyes	Gray	Nor1 leaf	Sout	Tars	Harv resti
		Azoxystrobin 22.9%	Quadris 2.08 SC, multiple generics	6.0 - 15.5	VG	E	VG	Ε	G	VG	NL	7 days
	11	Headline 2.09 EC/SC	Headline 2.09 EC/SC	6.0 - 12.0	VG	E	E	E	VG	VG	NL	7 days
		Aproach 2.08 SC	Aproach 2.08 SC	3.0 - 12.0	VG	VG-E	VG	F-VG	VG	G	G ³	7 days
		Flutriafol 20.9%	Xyway LFR 1.92 SC Xyway 3D 2.5 SC	LFR: 7.6-15.2 3D: 5.8-11.8	NL	U	NL	VG-E	VG	NL	NL	N/A
	3	Propiconazole 41.8%	Tilt 3.6 EC, multiple generics	2.0 - 4.0	NL	VG	E	G	G	F	NL	30 days
	Ĩ	Prothioconazole 41.0%	Proline 480 SC	5.7	U	VG	E	U	VG	G	NL	14 days
		Tebuconazole 38.7%	Folicur 3.6 F, multiple generics	4.0 - 6.0	NL	U	NL	U	VG	F	NL	36 days
Г		Tetraconazole 20.5%	Domark 230 ME	4.0 - 6.0	U	U	U	E	VG	G	G-VG ³	R3 (milk)
	11 3	Azoxystrobin 13.5% Propiconazole 11.7%	Quilt Xcel 2.2 SE, multiple generics	10.5 - 14.0	VG	VG-E	VG-E	E	VG	VG	G-VG ³	30 days
	7 11	Benzovindiflupyr 2.9% Azoxystrobin 10.5%	Trivapro 2.21 SE	13.7	U	U	U	E	VG	E	G-VG	30 days
	3	Propiconazole 11.9%										
	3 11	Cyproconazole 7.17% Picoxystrobin 17.94%	Aproach Prima 2.34 SC	3.4 - 6.8	U	U	U	E	VG	G	G-VG ³	30 days
	3	Flutriafol 19.3%	Fortix 3.22 SC	4.0 -6.0	U	U	U	E	VG	VG	G-VG ³	R4 (dough)
-	11	Fluoxastrobin 14.84%	Preemptor 3.22 SC	4.0 -0.0	0	0	0	L	VG	VG	0-10	N4 (uouyii)
	3 7	Flutriafol 26.47% Bixafen 15.55%	Lucento	3.0-5.5	U	U	U	VG-E	VG	VG	G3	R4
	3 11	Flutriafol 18.63% Azoxystrobin 25.30%	TopGuard EQ	5.0-7.0	U	F	U	VG	G	G	G-VG ³	45 days
	3 11	Mefentrifluconazole 17.56a5 Pyraclostrobin 17.56%	Veltyma	7.0-10.0	U	U	U	VG-E	VG-E	VG	G-VG	21 days
	3 11	Mefentrifluconazole 11.61% Pyraclostrobin 15.49%	Revytek	8.0-15.0	U	U	U	VG-E	VG-E	VG	G-VG	21 days
	7	Fluxapyroxad 7.74%					•	VG L	VG L			
	3 11	Prothioconazole 16.0% Trifloxystrobin 13.7%	Delaro325 SC	8.0-12.0	VG	E	VG	E	VG	G-VG	G-VG	14 days
	3	Prothioconazole 14.9%										
	7 11	Trifloxystrobin 13.1% Fluopyram 10.9%	Delaro Complete ⁴ 3.83 SC	8.0-12.0	U	U	U	E	U	VG	G-VG	35 days
	7	Pydiflumetofen 7.0% Azoxystrobin 9.3%	Miravis Neo 2.5 SE	13.7	U	U	U	E	VG-E	VG	G-VG	30 days
	11 3	Propiconazole 11.6%	Miravis Neo 2.5 SE									
	11 7	Pyraclostrobin 28.58% Fluxapyroxad 14.33%	Priaxor 4.17 SC	4.0 - 8.0	U	VG	U	VG	VG-E	VG	G-VG ³	21 days
	11 3	Pyraclostrobin 13.6% Metconazole 5.1%	Headline AMP 1.68 SC	10.0 - 14.4	U	E	E	E	VG	G	G-VG	20 days
	11 3	Trifloxystrobin 32.3% Prothioconazole 10.8%	Stratego YLD 4.18 SC	4.0 - 5.0	VG	E	VG	E	VG	G	NL	14 days
	3 11	Tetraconazole 7.48% Azoxystrobin 9.35%	Affiance 1.5 SC	10.0-14.0	U	G-VG	U	G-VG	G-VG	G	G ³	7 days
		,										

¹ Fungicide application timing is extremely important and needs to be made near the onset of the tar spot symptoms. Efficacy ratings based on limited site locations from 2018 to 2020. ²Harvest restrictions are listed for field corn harvested for grain. Restrictions may vary for other types of corn (sweet, seed or popcorn, etc.), and corn for other uses such as forage or fodder. ³A 2ee label is available for several fungicides for control of tar spot, however efficacy data are limited. Check 2ee labels carefully, as not all products have 2ee labels in all states. ⁴ Delaro Complete is not labeled for use on corn in all states as of January 2021. This information is provided only as a guide. It is the applicator's legal responsibility to read and follow all current label directions. Reference in this publication to any specific commercial product is for general information only, and does not constitute an endorsement or recommendation by the CDWG. Individuals using such products assume responsibility for their use in accordance with current directions of the manufacturer. Members or participants in the CDWG assume no liability resulting from the use of these products.